

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR HAZENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/077,543	02/15/2002	William P. Apps	2049 PUS	3584
33171	7590 07/08/2004		EXAMINER	
KONSTANTINE J. DIAMOND 4010 E. 26TH STREET			MOHANDESI, ЛІА М	
	LES, CA 90023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3728	·

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		// / / /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/077,543	APPS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jila M Mohandesi	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	oril 2004.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-07-03, 04-09-04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/077,543

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim12 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 12, line 2, the word "walls" has been repeated. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marovskis (5,076,457) in view of Gyenge et al. (4,674,647). Marovskis '457 discloses a collapsible container comprising: a base member having a bottom wall (22), a pair of first base wall portions and a pair of second base wall portions (raised border 38), the base wall having a recess base area (aperture 48) formed therein; a pair of first opposed walls pivotalbly attached to a corresponding one of the first base wall portions and orientable between and upright orientation and an overlapping folded orientation, each of the opposed walls generally corresponding in height and each having at least one projection member (49 & 52) arranged to be received within the recessed area of the wall portions when in the overlapping folded orientation, and wherein one of the first opposed walls has a recessed wall area (aperture 50 in first opposed wall which constitutes a recess wall) arranged to receive the projection member of an other first

Application/Control Number: 10/077,543

Art Unit: 3728

opposed wall folded therein. See Figures 1-3 embodiments. Marovskis '457 does not appear to disclose a second pair of similar opposed walls. Gyenge '647 discloses a collapsible container with two sets of similar opposed walls pivotable attached to a corresponding base wall to better hold and maintain the items stored therein. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide addition similar opposed walls to the collabsible container of Marovskis '457 as taught by Gyenge '647 to better support and hold the items being stored therein. Furtheremore, it has been held that mere duplication and rearranging of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. V. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8 and In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

With respect to the location of the upper projecting flange and recess area, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to rearrange the location of the projection and recess, since it has been held that mere duplication and rearranging of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. V. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8 and In re-Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 13-20 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,543 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 09, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the above references are both directed to a collapsible containers, therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide addition similar opposed walls to the collapsible container of Marovskis '457 as taught by the collapsible container of Gyenge '647 to better support and hold the items being stored therein.

Contrary to applicant's argument the rotation of the second opposed side wall are in a plane generally parallel to the bottom wall.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/077,543 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M Mohandesi whose telephone number is (703) 305-7015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (703) 308-2672. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JILA M. MOHANDESI-PRIMARY EXAMINER Jila M Mohandesi

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/077,543

Art Unit: 3728

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM July 07, 2004